

Finite-Size Scaling in Two-dimensional Continuum Percolation Models

Van Lien Nguyen*

Institute of Physics, P.O. Box 429, Bo Ho, Hanoi 10000 Vietnam

Enrique Canessa†

*The Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics
P.O. Box 586, 34100 Trieste, Italy*

Abstract

We test the universal finite-size scaling of the cluster mass order parameter in two-dimensional (2D) isotropic and directed continuum percolation models below the percolation threshold by computer simulations. We found that the simulation data in the 2D continuum models obey the same scaling expression of mass M to sample size L as generally accepted for isotropic lattice problems, but with a positive sign of the slope in the $\ln$ - $\ln$ plot of M versus L . Another interesting aspect of the finite-size 2D models is also suggested by plotting the normalized mass in 2D continuum and lattice bond percolation models, versus an effective percolation parameter, independently of the system structure (*i.e.*, lattice or continuum) and of the possible directions allowed for percolation (*i.e.*, isotropic or directed) in regions close to the percolation thresholds. Our study is the first attempt to map the scaling behaviour of the mass for both lattice and continuum model systems into one curve.

64.60.Ak, 64.60.Cn, 64.60.Ht, 05.40.+j

Typeset using REVTeX

*E-mail: nvlien@bohr.ac.vn

†E-mail: canessa@ictp.trieste.it

Isotropic percolation (IP) and directed percolation (DP) in Bravais lattices and continuum percolation models have received considerable attention over the years [1–4]. Such interests in (either lattice or continuum) percolation models, and the crossover from IP to DP [5–8], stem from theoretical reasons to understand a vast range of physical phenomena, including hopping conduction in strong electric fields [9], self-organized criticality [10], directed polymers [11], porous silicon materials [12], *etc.* Most investigations until now have mainly focused on the properties of clusters at the percolation threshold for system sizes $L \rightarrow \infty$. In this limit, IP and DP critical clusters have been characterized by the correlation length exponent ν and the fractal dimension given by $D_f = \ln M_c(L)/\ln L$, where $L \rightarrow \infty$ and $M_c(L)$ is the total number of sites belonging to the critical cluster (*i.e.*, the cluster mass) at percolation. Similar analysis have been used in a variety of different branches of physics [1]. The shape and size of clusters with critical behaviour have been investigated, for instance, in Ref. [13].

For large, but still finite percolating systems prior to the percolation threshold, scaling assumptions have been studied based on the renormalization group treatment [1]. Universal finite-size scaling below the percolation threshold p_c has been discussed in a number of recent works [14,17,18]. It has been suggested that the system order parameters Θ should scale with the finite system size L such that

$$\Theta \sim L^{-\beta/\nu} F[\lambda L^{1/\nu}] \quad , \quad (1)$$

where $\lambda = p_c - p$ is the separation from the critical point and, for 2D systems, β and ν have the supposedly exact values $5/36$ and $4/3$, respectively [17]. For the order parameter of the largest cluster mass $M(L)$ in the disordered phase (below percolation threshold), the plots $\ln M(L)L^{\beta/\nu}$ versus $\ln L^{1/\nu}$ for the square, triangular and cubic lattices of [14], support the scaling of Eq.(1) with a negative slope. At the threshold, such results has been shown to be sensitive to system shape, boundary conditions, and other factors [18]. However, this universality class phenomenon for the mass variations of ramified clusters along the percolation processes has remain unexplored in the case of continuum percolation models.

In this work we test the universal finite-size scaling of the cluster mass order parameter in 2D continuum percolation below percolation threshold. By investigating the behaviour of the

cluster mass in these systems, we hope to shed light on the properties of the internal structure of these percolation clusters below criticality. A detailed knowledge of the properties of the complex topology of the available percolating paths would be useful to study transport processes near the percolation threshold [4].

We have carried out simulations using standard techniques. In the continuum IP and DP models, the coordinates $\{x_i, y_i\}$ of N sites are generated at random in a square box of size $L = N^{1/2}$. The simulation length unit is chosen such that the density of sites, namely n , in the box is always equal to unity regardless of the total number of sites N . Percolation is checked over sites from one (the left) edge to the opposite (the right) edge of the simulation square (x -axis).

In the continuum IP model we solve the circle problem [2], where all directions are equivalent and a connected bond can be established between the i -site to the j -site if the following condition is satisfied

$$\sqrt[2]{(x_j - x_i)^2 + (y_j - y_i)^2} \leq r \quad . \quad (2)$$

The radius r is chosen to check the percolation process. In the present study, the IP critical radius is found to be for example $r_c^{(I)} = 1.1992$ for a sample size $N = 12800$ and $r_c^{(I)} = 1.1991$ for $N = 25600$. The reported simulation data include all digits which do not change with increasing number of realizations used for the averaging. We estimate error bars to be of the order ± 0.001 .

In the continuum DP model the connected bonds can be established from site i to the forward sites j only. Therefore in addition to Eq.(2), there is also the additional condition $x_j > x_i$ (*i.e.*, the forward semi-circle problem [6,7]). The DP radius is measured and found to be, for example, $r_c^{(D)} = 1.301$ for a sample size $N = 12800$ and $r_c^{(D)} = 1.311$ for $N = 25600$. Note that the dimensionless quantities r_c are here given in units of $n^{-1/2}$. The different sources of the finite-size effect for the DP are discussed in [6].

In the standard percolation problems all characteristics quantities (ν , D_f ...) are measured at criticality: *i.e.*, at $r = r_c^{(I)}$ (or $r_c^{(D)}$). In the following we shall investigate the finite scaling behaviour of the cluster mass $M(r)$ when r of Eq.(2) for IP (plus the constrain $x_j > x_i$ for DP) are smaller than $r_c^{(I)}$ (or $r_c^{(D)}$).

To check the scaling of Eq.(1), the mass $M(r)$ of the largest cluster has been measured for different distances smaller than r_c in systems of various sizes: $N=400, 800, 1600, 3200, 6400, 12800$ and 25600 . For given N and r , the averaging mass $\langle M(r) \rangle$ is taken over a number of random realizations, which decreases from 12800 to 200 as N increases from 400 to 25600, respectively.

Figure 1 shows the finite-size scaling ln-ln plot of the measured mass $\langle M(r) \rangle$ times $L^{\beta/\nu}$ for both IP and DP continuum problems, against the system size L to the $1/\nu$ power. As indicated, different curves are for different radius $r < r_c$ (in units of $n^{-1/2}$). Here, we use $\nu = 4/3$ for 2D IP and $\nu = \nu_{||} = 1.67$ (the parallel exponent [6]) for the 2D DP model. In both cases, the used value of β is $5/36$ [14]. Note that the magnitude of β does not affect the qualitative behaviour of Fig.1, but leads to a change in the slope magnitude only. It is clear that for all values of r in this study and for both IP and DP continuum models, the simulation points lie well along straight lines.

Thus, our simulation data confirm the functional form of Eq.(1) suggested for isotropic lattices prior to the percolation threshold [14]. In the continuum cases, however, the slopes in the ln-ln plots shown in Fig.1 are found to be positive contrary to the negative ones observed in isotropic 2D lattices in the disordered phase below the percolation threshold. This allows us to assume that the finite-size scaling of Eq.(1) is so universal that it is valid for any percolation (lattice or continuum) models, regardless of isotropicness or directedness. For 2D continuum percolation models (both IP and DP) we found positive slopes such that, for low L , the mass M of the finite largest cluster increases with increasing L . It is feasible to believe that such an increase should become saturated and M will be essentially independent of L when $L \rightarrow \infty$ according to the general statement of finite-size scaling theory (see, *i.e.* [1] page 71). We also note here the suggestion that the percolation phenomena (even two-three-dimensional) are essentially formulated by one-dimensional percolation paths [15,16]. It is likely that for different distances separation $r_c - r$ the saturated mass should be different and might relate to different magnitudes ϵ of the slopes shown in Fig.1. Hence the nature of the investigated model is reflected in the sign (and magnitude) of the slopes for the scaling. The present phenomenological scaling behaviour qualitatively agrees with the results of the 2D Ising model for $p < p_c$ [14].

In both the IP and DP continuum models, we also measure the normalized mass

$$f(r) \equiv \ln \langle M(r) \rangle / \ln L^{D_f} = \ln \langle M(r) \rangle / \ln \langle M_c(L) \rangle \quad , \quad (3)$$

where $M(r)$, as above, corresponds to the mass of the largest finite cluster when r is smaller than $r_c^{(I),(D)}$. We attempt to show next that the normalized mass of Eq.(3) possesses an universal behaviour not only for both IP and DP continuum models, but also for 2D bond lattice problem with a suitable relation between the radius r in the continuum problem and the percolation probability in the bond problem.

To check for universality in 2D isotropic lattice percolation, we use a standard 2D bond percolation problem in a square lattice. For a given value of parameter p (where $1 - p$ corresponds to the standard percolation probability), there is a bond between the site i to the neighbor site j if the random probability p_{ij} is not lower than p : *i.e.*, $p_{ij} \geq p$. This definition of p has been adopted for convenience since it is simpler to formulate a relation between the 2D percolation models under consideration. The measured critical value is $p_c = 0.504$ for a system of 120×120 (averaged over 400 realizations). Clearly, if the chosen value of p with the percolation condition $p_{ij} \geq p$ is much greater than p_c , then the connected bonds cannot percolate through the system since the ramified forming clusters are small in comparison with the sample sizes. This situation is similar to the case of continuum model systems with $r < r_c$ in Eq.(2). Again we measure the cluster mass $\langle M(p) \rangle$ for various values $p > p_c$ (*i.e.*, percolation threshold).

In order to map the scaling behaviour of the mass for both lattice and continuum model systems into one curve in the regions close to the percolation threshold, we propose the relation

$$p \propto \exp(-r/r_c) \quad , \quad r \rightarrow r_c \quad . \quad (4)$$

This leads to the relation between the parameter $r^* \equiv r/r_c$ and the ratio $p^* \equiv p/p_c$ in regions close percolation thresholds:

$$p^* = \exp(1 - r^*) \quad , \quad r^* \rightarrow 1. \quad (5)$$

For a given value of r^* , from Eq.(5) we have the corresponding value of p for the lattice problem. Though this equation should be valid only in regions close to the percolation

thresholds ($r^* \rightarrow 1$ and, correspondingly, $p^* \rightarrow 1$), our simulations are carried out for a large range of value of p from 0.919 to 0.515 which correspond to r^* between 0.4 to 0.98. We thus measure $\langle M(p) \rangle$, and the normalized mass of Eq.(3), for the value of p corresponding to the simulation value of r^* in the continuum problems.

As mentioned above, we have carried out large scale simulations and measure $f(r)$ for isotropic and directed continuum percolation clusters for different r as well as the normalized mass in the isotropic lattice model at different values of the normalized radii r^* , namely

$$g(r^*) \equiv \ln \langle M(p^*) \rangle / \ln \langle M_c(1) \rangle, \quad (6)$$

with p^* related to r^* via Eq.(5). We note that simulations of continuum (or random) systems are much more involved than those for lattices [2]. They need a larger amount of computer memory (to storage the site coordinates), and of CPU time (to calculate the distances between sites) [3].

Figure 2 shows the normalized masses f of Eq.(3) and g of Eq.(6) as a function of the common variable of the reduced radius r^* and for large samples sizes L as indicated. Surprisingly, these two functionals of $f(r^*)$ (for both 2D IP and DP continuum models) and of $g(r^*)$ (for 2D bond square lattice problem) fall in a common form over the large range of the variable $r^* \geq 0.4$ (though Eq.(6) is suggested to be only valid in the region $r^* \rightarrow 1$). From statistics in several samples, we estimate the errors of our measurements to be of the order of 5%. Greater variations at low r are a consequence of the very small clusters (or even largest) with very few sites only, so giving rise to bigger relative fluctuations on the average $\langle M \rangle$ in this limit.

These measurements of Fig.2 suggest a novel aspect of the finite-size regime independently of the system structure (*i.e.*, lattice or continuum) and of the possible direction(s) allowed for percolation (*i.e.*, isotropic or directed) at least in regions close to the percolation thresholds. We have verified that the same macroscopic behaviour is also approximatively valid for the normalized ratio $\ln M(r^*) / \ln L^{D_f}$ for a single realization in (say, infinitively) large lattices. If, for example, in continuum models $r < \bar{r}_{ij}$, (*i.e.*, r is smaller than the average distance between sites), then the total number of sites percolating is also small and there is only a weakly increase of M with increasing r in this region. This is so because the

originating cluster can only flow to reach a few sites in the neighborhood close to it. When r is close to r_c , say $(r_c - r)/r_c \sim 0.1$ (see, $r^* > 0.9$ in Fig.2), the cluster mass in IP and DP increases sharply to fit the value (of the order) of the total number of sites in the system. For the critical value $r^* = 1$ the cluster flows through the whole system and reaches the right-edge of the simulation box. The size of the critical fractal cluster is then equal to the system size.

In summary, we have dealt with the critical properties of 2D isotropic and directed percolation, in particular with the differences between continuum and lattice versions of this process. We have tested the finite-size scaling of the cluster mass order parameter in 2D continuum models below the percolation threshold. The nature of the system structure, *i.e.* lattice or continuum, relates to the sign and magnitude of the slope for the functional dependence of $\langle M \rangle$ in Eq.(1) and shown in Fig.1. Our findings also show that the total number of sites in the largest cluster (cluster mass at variable simulation parameters close to percolation threshold), becomes independent of the model structure and of the directions allowed to percolate when normalized with respect to the total number of sites in the critical cluster at the percolation threshold. The differences between the processes of IP and DP are only in the changes of the critical exponents ν , the fractal dimension D_f and the percolation threshold shift [1,2,6]. Surprisingly, the cluster mass (prior and up to the percolation threshold) fits into the same form as shown in Fig.2. Though we are unable to justify what observed in this figure, we believe that this universality should hold in regions close to critical points. And, since for the lattice models both the bond and site problems belong to the same universality class [1], the present universality should be observed for the site problem and for different 2D lattices. Our study is the first attempt to map the scaling behaviour of the mass for both lattice and continuum model systems into one curve.

In order to understand better these phenomena, it would be interesting to study similar finite-size behaviour in more complex lattice structures, *i.e.*, anisotropic [7], aperiodic and in higher dimensions [19]. We hope that as a first attempt in mapping together two percolation models, lattice and continuum, our present results will stimulate more discussions on this topic.

One of the authors (VLN) is indebted to Prof A. Aharony for helpful discussions.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Stauffer and A. Aharony, *Introduction to Percolation Theory* (Taylor & Francis, London, 2nd Ed., 1994).
- [2] B.I. Shklovskii and A.L. Efros, *Electronic Properties of Doped Semiconductors* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984).
- [3] J. Hoshen, M.W. Berry and K.S. Minser, Phys. Rev. E. **56**, 1455 (1997).
- [4] M. Porto, A. Bunde, S. Havlin and H.E. Roman, Phys. Rev. E, **56**, 1667 (1997).
- [5] E. Frey, U.C. Täuber and F. Schwabl, Europhysics Lett. **26**, 413 (1994).
- [6] V. Lien Nguyen and A. Rubio, Solid State Commun. **95**, 833 (1995).
- [7] V. Lien Nguyen and E. Canessa, Phys. Rev. E **57**, 2467 (1998).
- [8] P. Fröjdh and M. den Nijs, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 1850 (1997).
- [9] V. Lien Nguyen and B.I. Shklovskii, Solid Sate Commun. **38**, 99 (1981).
- [10] M. Paczuski, S. Maslov and P. Bak, Phys. Rev. E **53**, 414 (1996).
- [11] N.I. Lebedev and Y.-C. Zhang, J. Phys. A **28**, L1 (1995).
- [12] E.C.C. Yeh and Y.Y.J. Hsu, J. Appl. Phys. **83**, 326 (1998).
- [13] C. Domb and E. Stoll, J. Phys. A **10**, 1141 (1997).
- [14] A. Margolina and H.J. Herrmann, Phys. Lett. **104A**, 295 (1984).
- [15] A. Hunt, Phil. Mag. Lett. **62**, 371 (1990).
- [16] I.P. Zvyagin and W. Kinase, J, Phys. Soc. Jap. **64**, 2988 (1995).
- [17] M.P.M. den Nijs, J. Phys. A **12**, 1857 (1979).
- [18] A. Aharony and S. Stauffer, J. Phys. A **30**, L301 (1997); and references therein.
- [19] S. Galam and A. Mauger, Phys. Rev. E **56**, 322 (1997).

FIGURES

FIG. 1. $\ln - \ln$ plot of the measured mass $\langle M(r) \rangle$ times $L^{\beta/\nu}$ in the 2D continuum models versus the system size L to the $1/\nu$ power for isotropic percolation (IP: open symbols) and directed percolation (DP: full symbols) as a function of model radius $r < r_c$. ϵ is the magnitude of each slope.

FIG. 2. Normalized mass $f(r^*)$ of Eq.(3) in the continuum models and normalized mass $g(r^*)$ of Eq.(6) in the lattice problem (using condition in Eq.(5)) as a function of the parameter r^* in Eq.(2).



